


AUSTRALIAN PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEE CENSUS 2019



6 MAY-7 JUNE

Highlights Report:

NAA



CONTENT Page Making the most of your results 2 Employee Engagement: Say, Stay, Strive 3 Inclusion and Diversity 4 8 Wellbeing Index Senior Leadership 9 Immediate Supervisor 11 13 Workplace Culture Workplace Conditions 22 Workgroup Performance 24 Performance Management 25 Capability 30 Career Intentions 31 **Risk Management** 33 Innovation Index 34 Time to take action 35 Guide to this report 36

RESPONSES: 232 of 353

RESPONSE RATE:

66%

MAKING THE MOST OF YOUR RESULTS



01.

Identify the areas where you are performing well.

These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. These should be celebrated. Share the good news with employees.

Understanding your report and getting to action!

The results in this report give you summary information.

Take the time to fully understand this report and digest the results.

Consider your response rate and if it is representative of the views of your colleagues.

Identify areas that need improvement.



These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators. Consider discussing these areas with your colleagues in focus groups or individually or team meetings, gather their thoughts and solutions before deciding on actions to take.



Consider if there is actually room for improvement.

This report shows the proportion of colleagues responding positively (strongly agree + agree), neutrally (neither agree nor disagree) or negatively (disagree + strongly disagree) to the question asked in the survey. Look at how your positive scores compare to your parent unit, and your last survey's results.

04.

Take action – think 'quick wins', short term and long term.

05.

Consider the impact of high neutral responses (lots of employees ticking 'neither agree nor disagree')

Ask your colleagues about their views to find out what is causing this. More communication and involvement may help to shift them to a positive frame of mind. Encourage all colleagues to help with action planning and implementation.

Think about what you want employees to be saying about their working lives in the future and what should be put in place to make this happen.



EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: SAY, STAY, STRIVE

0

HOW ENGAGED IS YOUR TEAM?

ENGAGEMENT SCORES AREN'T JUST ABOUT HOW MUCH PEOPLE LIKE WORKING FOR AN AGENCY. IT IS A MEASURE OF THE EMOTIONAL CONNECTION AND COMMITMENT EMPLOYEES HAVE TO WORKING FOR THE AGENCY.

\bigcirc	YOUR EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT 7%	RESPONSE S	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE				+1	0	-4	-4
	Considering everything, I am satisfied with my job	70	20 10	70%	+3	0	-4	-4
SAY	I am proud to work in my agency	81	15	81%	+3	+7 🔂	-3	-2
S	I would recommend my agency as a good place to work	59	24 17	59 %	+3	-5 🕑	-13 🕑	-13 🕑
	I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of my agency	88	10	88%	+9 🔂	+5 🖸	-1	0
STAY	I feel a strong personal attachment to my agency	72	20 8	72 %	0	+6 🖸	-2	0
ST	I feel committed to my agency's goals	78	19	78 %	+4	-1	-7 🕑	-6 😍
	I suggest ideas to improve our way of doing things	88	10	88%	+1	+4	0	+1
STRIVE	I am happy to go the 'extra mile' at work when required	92		92%	+2	0	-2	-2
STR	I work beyond what is required in my job to help my agency achieve its objectives	73	22	73%	+7 🔂	-6 🔮	-8 😍	-10 😍
	My agency really inspires me to do my best work every day	43 3	38 19	43 %	0	-10 🕑	-16 😍	-16 😍
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR Positive Neutral Negative							

VARIANCE

FROM 2018

+3

-3

-

0

+2

-2

-2

+2

%

34%

62%

0%

4%

3%

97%

6%

94%

VARIANCE

FROM APS

OVERALL

-3

+3

0

0

-1

+1

-3

+3

Demographics **RESPONSE SCALE** What is your gender? **EXPLORE** THE FULL Male RESULTS Female X (Indeterminate/Intersex/Unspecified) Prefer not to say Do you identify as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander? Yes No Do you have an ongoing disability? Yes No KEY \mathbf{O} THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN O COMPARATOR

VARIANCE

FROM

SPECIALIST

AGENCIES

-70

+7 🞧

0

0

+1

-1

-1

+1

VARIANCE

FROM MEDIUM

SIZED

AGENCIES

-50

+60

0

0

+1

-1

-1

+1



0


0	Demographics	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES					
EXPLORE	In which country were you born?											
THE FULL RESULTS	Australia		79 %	0	+1	+3	0					
	Other country		21 %	0	-1	-3	0					
	Do you speak a language other than English at home?											
	No, English only		85%	-1	+4	+3	+3					
	Yes, other		15%	+1	-4	-3	-3					
	Do you have carer responsibilities?											
	Yes		45 %	+4	+4	+4	+5•					
	No		55%	-4	-4	-4	-5 🕑					
	[
	KEY	INTS GREATER	(AT LEAST 5 P COMPARATO	ERCENTAGE POIN R	NTS LESS THAN						



0	Demographics	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Do you identify as Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, and,	/or Intersex (LGBTI+)?					
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		6 %	0	+1	0	0
	No		90%	+1	0	+1	+1
	Prefer not to say		4 %	-1	-1	-1	-1
]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	DINTS GREATER		AT LEAST 5 F COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POII	NTS LESS THAN



0			RESPONSE S	CALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES		
EXPLORE THE FULL		The people in my workgroup behave in an accepting manner towards people from diverse backgrounds	93		93%	+5 🖸	+5 🖸	+1	+2		
RESULTS		My SES manager actively supports people of diverse backgrounds	60	35	60%	+6 🔂	-8 🔮	-13 🔮	-14		
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	Attitudinal	My agency is committed to creating a diverse workforce (e.g. gender, age, cultural and linguistic background, disability, Indigenous. LGBTI+)	61	31 8	61%	0	-18	-18 🕑	-16		
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY		My supervisor actively supports people from diverse backgrounds	87	11	87 %	+2	+1	-1	+1		
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture	65	23 12	65%	+4	-13 🔮	-15 🕑	-14		
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.											
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?											
IS THERE ROOM FOR											
IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE COMPARATOR	POINTS LESS THA	N	Pc	Positive Neutral Negative				
								Positive Neutral Negative			



WELLBEING INDEX

0	+	YOUR WELLBEING INDEX 70%	RESPONSE SCAL	.E	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
		SCORE				-2	+3	-1	0
WELLBEING		I am satisfied with the policies/practices in place to help me manage my health and wellbeing	72	20 8	72%	-2	+3	-2	-1
THE WELLBEING SCORE PROVIDES A MEASURE OF WELLBEING FOR EMPLOYEES WITHIN AN ORGANISATION. IT		My agency does a good job of communicating what it can offer me in terms of health and wellbeing	74	19	74 %	-10	+12 🔂	+4	+6 🔂
MEASURES BOTH THE PRACTICAL AND CULTURAL ELEMENTS THAT ALLOW FOR A		My agency does a good job of promoting health and wellbeing	76	18	76 %	-4	+15 🖸	+8 🗘	+10 🕢
SUSTAINABLE AND HEALTHY WORKING ENVIRONMENT.		I think my agency cares about my health and wellbeing	63 2	2 16	63%	-1	+5 🖸	-5 🕑	-3
HIGH LEVELS OF ENGAGEMENT WILL		I believe my immediate supervisor cares about my health and wellbeing	81	15	81 %	-2	-1	-4	-3
NOT BE SUSTAINABLE AND WILL LEAD TO BURN OUT WITHOUT RECIPROCALLY STRONG LEVELS OF WELLBEING.									
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS COMPARATOR	LESS THAN		Pc	ositive Neutral M	Negative	

PAGE 08.



SENIOR LEADERSHIP

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY O AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

	RESPONS	SE SCALE		% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
My SES manager is of a high quality	58	26	16	58%	-2	-10	-15 🕑	-18
My SES manager is sufficiently visible (e.g. can be seen in action)	53	21	26	53%	+6 🔂	-12	-16 🔮	-19
My SES manager communicates effectively	53	23	24	53 %	+7 🔂	-12	-14 🔮	-17 👁
My SES manager ensures that work effort contributes to the strategic direction of the agency and the APS	58	30	13	58 %	+1	-9 🕑	-15 🔮	-16
My SES manager effectively leads and manages change	48	31	20	48 %	+4	-11 🕑	-14 🔮	-16
My SES manager engages with staff on how to respond to future challenges	50	28	21	50%	+2	-11 🕑	-13 🕑	-17 🕑

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

0



SENIOR LEADERSHIP

0			RES	RESPONSE SCALE			VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
	SES	In my agency, the SES are sufficiently visible (e.g. can be seen in action)	39	22	39	39 %	0	-16	-21 🕑	-23 🕑
THE FULL RESULTS		In my agency, communication between the SES and other employees is effective	30	26	45	30%	-1	-19	-18	-21 🕑
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	Senior Leadership: All SES	In my agency, the SES actively contribute to the work of our agency	56		27 18	56%	+6 🔂	-8	-15 👁	-17 🕑
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY	ior Leade	In my agency, the SES are of a high quality	45		32 23	45 %	+7 🔂	-12 🕑	-16	-19 🕑
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED	Seni	In my agency, the SES work as a team	32	41	27	32 %	+1	-14	-14	-16 🕑
		In my agency, the SES clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our agency	46		32 22	46%	-4	-11 🕑	-11 🕑	-14 \mathbf
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.										

PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY O AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR • AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY (b) AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

		RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
	My supervisor treats people with respect	90 7	90%	+1	+2	-1	0
٥r	My supervisor communicates effectively	79 11 1	¹ 79%	+3	-1	-1	-1
superviso	My supervisor encourages me to contribute ideas	86 10	86%	+4	+2	-1	0
Immediate supervisor	My supervisor displays resilience when faced with difficulties or failures	78 17	78%	0	-2	-5 🕑	-4
<u> </u>	My supervisor gives me responsibility and holds me to account for what I deliver	88 1	88%	+3	+2	0	0
	My supervisor challenges me to consider new ways of doing things	75 19	75%	-2	0	-2	-1

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

O



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

0	Immediate supervisor	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Where is your immediate supervisor's normal work loc	cation?					
THE FULL RESULTS	In the same office as me		83%	+3	+3	+2	+6 🔂
	In the same office as me but on a different floor		7 %	-2	+5 🖸	+4	+5 🖸
	In a different office, but in the same town/city		3%	-1	0	+2	+2
	In a different town/city or state		7 %	+1	-8 😍	-7 👁	-11 👁
	In a different country		0%	-	0	-1	-1

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

• AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



VARIANCE

FROM SPECIALIST

AGENCIES

-5 🕑

-5 🕑

+2

-12 🕑

-2

-20 🕑

-26 🕑

-16 🖸

-4

VARIANCE

FROM MEDIUM

SIZED

AGENCIES

-5 🕑

-5 🕑

+2

-13 🔮

-2

-24 🔮

-27 🔮

-16 🕑

-4

0			RESPONSE SC.	ALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL			
EXPLORE		I receive the respect I deserve from my colleagues at work	73	19 8	73%	0	-3			
THE FULL RESULTS		Relationships at work are strained [negatively worded question - "Always" or "Often" responses are negative, while "Rarely" or "Never" responses are positive]	50 3	57 13	50 %	-2	-4			
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT		My agency actively encourages ethical behaviour by all of its employees	79	14 7	79 %	+5 🖸	-2			
THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		I have unrealistic time pressures [negatively worded question - "Always" or "Often" responses are negative, while "Rarely" or "Never" responses are positive]	36 42	22	36%	-1	+5 🖸			
	Culture	Staff are consulted about change at work	37 42	21	37%	-1	-11 🕑			
		I am happy to go the 'extra mile' at work when required	92		92%	+2	0			
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.		Internal communication within my agency is effective	28 27	45	28 %	-2	-20			
		In general, employees in my agency feel they are valued for their contribution	29 35	36	29%	-1	-18 🔮			
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?		My agency really inspires me to do my best work every day	43 38	19	43%	0	-10 🕑			
IS THERE ROOM FOR										
IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	KEY O AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS OF AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR								



EXPLORE	
THE FULL	
RESULTS	

0

Always	44%	-3	-7 🛛	-12 🕑	-11 🕑
Often	49 %	+6 🔂	+9 🐼	+12 🖸	+12 🕥
Sometimes	6%	-3	-1	+1	0
Rarely	1%	0	0	0	0
Vever	0%	-	0	0	0
Not sure	0%	-	-1	-1	-1

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



VARIANCE

VARIANCE

FROM MEDIUM

SIZED

AGENCIES

-10 🕑

+90

+3

-1

0

-1

VARIANCE VARIANCE FROM **APS Values RESPONSE SCALE** % FROM APS FROM 2018 SPECIALIST OVERALL AGENCIES Does your supervisor act in accordance with the APS Values in his or her everyday work? **EXPLORE** THE FULL 55% -70 -11 🕑 -3 Always RESULTS 36% +7 🕢 Often +2 +90 8% +3 +3 +4 Sometimes 0% -1 -1 Rarely -0% 0 0 Never -0% -1 0 -1 Not sure AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN O KEY \mathbf{O} THAN COMPARATOR COMPARATOR

0



EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

0

APS Values	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIU SIZED AGENCIES
o senior leaders (i.e. the SES) in your age	ency act in accordance with the APS Values?					
Always		28%	-7 👁	-16 😍	-18 🕑	-20 🔮
Dften		38%	+8 🔂	+8 🗘	+6 🔂	+6 🖸
Sometimes		15%	-2	+6 🔂	+7 🖸	+7 🖸
Rarely		2%	0	0	+1	+1
Vever		1%	0	+1	+1	+1
Not sure		15%	0	+1	+4	+5 🛇




0

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

gency promote the APS Values?					
	25%	-	-17 🕑	-16 🕑	-1
	38%	-	+7 🖸	+6 🖸	+
	19 %	-	+6 🔂	+4	+
	6%	-	+2	+1	+)
	3 %	-	+2	+2	+)
	10%	-	+1	+2	+
	gency promote the APS Values?	25% 38% 19% 6% 3%	25% - 38% - 19% - 6% - 3% -	25% - -17 • 38% - +7 • 19% - +6 • 6% - +2 3% - +2	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATE THAN COMPARATOR AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



0	Discrimination	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	During the last 12 months and in the course of discrimination on the basis of your background						
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		7 %	0	-5 🔮	-2	-3
	No		93%	0	+5 🖸	+2	+3
EMPLOYEES WHO HAD	Did this discrimination occur in your current ag	gency?					
PERCEIVED DISCRIMINATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS IN THE COURSE OF THEIR	Yes		100%	+7 🔂	+6 🔂	+80	+8👁
EMPLOYMENT WERE ASKED WHAT THE BASIS WAS FOR THE DISCRIMINATION.	No		0%	-	-6 👁	-8 😍	-8 🕑
EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	Basis for the discrimination that you experienc	ced (3 highest responses):					
ONLY THE THREE TYPES OF DISCRIMINATION WITH	Gender		56 %	-	-	-	-
THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE	Age		38 %	-	-	-	-
MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR	Other		25 %	-	-	-	-
THE APS OVERALL.							
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE P THAN COMPARATOR	OINTS GREATER		AT LEAST 5 F COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POII	NTS LESS THAN



0	Bullying and harassment RE	SPONSE SCALE %	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	During the last 12 months, have you been subjected to hara current workplace?	ssment or bullying in your				
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes	10%	-4	-3	+1	0
	No	83%	+4	+3	-2	-1
	Not Sure	7%	+1	0	+1	+1
EMPLOYEES WHO PERCEIVED HARASSMENT OR BULLYING IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS WERE ASKED	Types of harassment or bullying experienced (3 highest res	ponses):				
WHAT TYPE OF HARASSMENT OR BULLYING THEY EXPERIENCED AND WHO	Verbal abuse (e.g. offensive language, derogatory remarks, shouting or screaming)	55%	-	-	-	-
WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR IT. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE	Interference with work tasks (i.e. withholding needed information, undermining or sabotage	45%	-	-	-	-
RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS. ONLY THE THREE	Inappropriate and unfair application of work policies or rules (e.g. performance management, access to leave, access to learning and development)	23%	-	-	-	-
OPTIONS WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE	Person responsible for the harassment or bullying (3 highes	st responses):				
MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR	Co-worker	50%	-	-	-	-
THE APS OVERALL.	Someone more senior (other than your supervisor)	45%	-	-	-	-
	Someone more junior than you	23%	-	-	-	-
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR		D AT LEAST 5 P COMPARATO	ERCENTAGE POIN R	ITS LESS THAN

0	Corruption	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Excluding behaviour reported to you as part of your witnessed another APS employee in your agency en may be serious enough to be viewed as corruption?	gaging in behaviour that you consider					
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		6%	-2	+1	+2	+2
	No		85%	0	-3	-5 🕑	-5 🕑
	Not sure		8%	+1	+3	+3	+3
EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED THAT THEY	Would prefer not to answer		2%	0	-1	-1	0
HAD WITNESSED POTENTIAL CORRUPT BEHAVIOUR WERE ASKED TO DESCRIBE THE BEHAVIOUR. EMPLOYEES	Types of corrupt behaviours witnessed (3 highest re	esponses):					
COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	Cronyism—preferential treatment of friends		92 %	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE TYPES OF CORRUPT BEHAVIOURS WITH THE	Nepotism—preferential treatment of family membe	ers	50 %	-	-	-	-
HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE	Theft or misappropriation of official assets		17 %	-	-	-	-
MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.							
]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER		COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN R	NTS LESS THAN



VARIANCE

VARIANCE

VARIANCE

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY O AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

		RESPONSE	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	FROM APS OVERALL	FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
	My agency has procedures in place to manage corruption	86	12	86%	+6 🔂	+2	+3	+3
Corruption	It would be hard to get away with corruption in my workplace	57	29 15	57 %	-8	-14	-13 🕑	-13 🕑
Corru	I am confident that colleagues in my workplace would report corruption	75	18	75%	-4	-5 🛛	-6 🕑	-7 🕑
	I feel confident that I would know what to do if I identified corruption in my workplace	78	15 7	78 %	+3	-5 🕑	-2	-4


AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

O

Positive Neutral Negative

2019 APS Employee Census

PAGE 21.



WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

VARIANCE

VARIANCE

VARIANCE

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY (b) AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

		RESPONSE	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	FROM APS OVERALL	FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
	My job gives me opportunities to utilise my skills	87	7	87 %	+3	+7 🔂	+2	+2
	I am fairly remunerated (e.g. salary, superannuation) for the work that I do	58	22 21	58 %	-2	-5 🛛	-6 🕑	-7 🕑
doį '	Considering everything, I am satisfied with my job	70	20 10	70%	+3	0	-4	-4
Your job	I am satisfied with my non-monetary employment conditions (e.g. leave, flexible work arrangements, other benefits)	83	9 8	83%	-1	+6 🔂	-1	0
	I am satisfied with the stability and security of my current job	57	17 26	57 %	+9 🔂	-16	-13	-17 🕑
	I am satisfied with the opportunities for career progression in my agency	39 24	37	39%	+12 🖸	-3	0	-4

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

0



WORKPLACE CONDITIONS


0			RESPONSE	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE THE FULL	working ements	My supervisor actively supports the use of flexible work arrangements by all staff, regardless of gender	85	10	85%	-1	+3	-2	-1
RESULTS	Flexible working arrangements	My SES manager actively supports the use of flexible work arrangements by all staff, regardless of gender	57	34 9	57 %	+1	-5 🕑	-15 🕑	-15 🕑
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	Work- life balance	Considering your work and life priorities, how satisfied are you with the work-life balance in your current job?	76	12 12	76 %	-2	+1	-3	-1
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR		My agency provides opportunities for mobility within my agency (e.g. temporary transfers)	69	24	69%	+2	+15 🔂	+14 🖸	+90
DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED	Mobility	My agency provides opportunities for mobility outside my agency (e.g. secondments and temporary transfers)	23 50	27	23%	-3	-6 🕑	-10 🕑	-12 🕑
LOOK AT HOW YOUR		My immediate supervisor actively supports opportunities for mobility	49	44	49 %	-7 🕑	+2	+6 🖸	+1
POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.									
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?									
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAG	E POINTS LESS THA	N	Pc	ositive Neutral N	Negative	



WORKGROUP PERFORMANCE

0			RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE		My workgroup has the appropriate skills, capabilities, and knowledge to perform well	74 12 13	74 %	-	-1	-5 🔮	-6 🔮
THE FULL RESULTS		My workgroup has the tools and resources we need to perform well	37 21 42	37%	-12 🔮	-22 🔮	-23 🔮	-24 🔮
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF		The work processes we have in place allow me to be as productive as possible	48 25 27	48%	+1	-6 🕑	-8 🕑	-8 🕑
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY		The people in my workgroup complete work to a high standard	83 12	83%	+1	+5 🖸	0	+1
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		The people in my work group use time and resources efficiently	72 20 8	72 %	-	+3	-2	-2
PROVIDED		My supervisor ensures that my workgroup delivers on what we are responsible for	76 19	76%	-3	-3	-6 🕑	-6 🕑
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.								
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?								
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THA COMPARATOR	NN	Pc	ositive Neutral M	Negative	







0	RESPONSI	E SCALE %	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	In the past 12 months, have you discussed with your supervisor your over the previous year and the performance expectations for the future	overall performance ure year?				
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes	75%	-6 🕑	0	-1	-1
	No	9%	+5 🖸	+1	+1	+2
	Not applicable (e.g. have not worked with my current supervisor long enough for this conversation to occur)	16%	+1	0	-1	-1
	In the past 12 months, did your supervisor recognise when your job p for any reason?	erformance changed				
	Yes	25%	+4	-2	+1	-1
	No	17%	+1	+2	+2	+2
	Not applicable (e.g. my performance has not changed)	58%	-5 🕑	0	-4	-1
	КЕҮ	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	(D AT LEAST 5 F COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POII PR	NTS LESS THAN

0			RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE THE FULL		To what extent do you agree that in the past 12 months, the performance expectations of your job were clear and <u>unambiguous?</u>	62 20	¹⁸ 62%	-8 🕑	+1	-3	-1
RESULTS		To what extent do you agree that the support by your supervisor has helped to improve your performance?	55 31	¹⁴ 55%	-6 🔮	-4	-7 O	-8 🛛
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF		My overall experience of performance management in my agency has been useful for my development	51 27	²² 51%	+7 😡	+3	+2	+1
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY		My supervisor openly demonstrates commitment to performance management	63 29	⁸ 63%	-3	-1	-1	-2
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		I received recognition when I last accomplished something significant at work	67 18	¹⁴ 67%	0	+1	-4	-4
		I can identify a clear connection between my job and my agency's purpose	84	11 84%	-2	+2	-2	-2
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.								
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?								
IS THERE ROOM FOR								
IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LE COMPARATOR	ESS THAN	Pc	ositive Neutral N	Negative	



0	Managing Underperformance	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	To what extent do you agree that your agency do	eals with underperformance effectively?					
THE FULL RESULTS	Strongly agree		3 %	-	0	0	0
	Agree		11%	-	-6 🕑	-5 🕑	-6 😍
	Neither agree nor disagree		50 %	-	+7 🔂	+2	+2
	Disagree		20%	-	-3	-1	0
	Strongly disagree		15%	-	+2	+5 🔂	+5 🖸
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	DIN IS GREATER		COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POI R	NIS LESS THAN



0	Managing Underperformance	SE SCALE %	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDII SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	The following questions were asked of employees who indi managed someone for underperformance:					
THE FULL	What were the challenges or difficulties you experienced while ma underperformance? (3 highest responses)	inaging this				
RESULTS	The time required to manage the underperformance	73%	-	-	-	-
	The complexity of processes required to manage the underperformance	60%	-	-	-	-
HESE QUESTIONS WERE	The previous manager did not address the underperformance	53%	-	-	-	-
NHO INDICATED THAT THEY IAD MANAGED SOMEONE FOR JNDERPERFORMANCE.	What did you find particularly beneficial or helpful while managing (3 highest responses)	this underperformance?				
MPLOYEES WHO INDICATED HAT THEY HAD FOUND OMETHING PARTICULARLY ENEFICIAL OR HELPFUL /HILE MANAGING THIS	Support from my immediate supervisor	80%	-	-	-	-
NDERPERFORMANCE WERE SKED WHAT THEY HAD OUND BENEFICIAL OR ELPFUL. EMPLOYEES COULD	Support from my agency's HR area	40%	-	-	-	-
ELECT ONE OR MORE ESPONSES FROM A LIST OF EMS.	Support from a mentor or coach	40%	-	-	-	-
NLY THE THREE OPTIONS /ITH THE HIGHEST ROPORTION OF RESPONSES RE PRESENTED HERE. THESE AY VARY BETWEEN GENCIES AND WITH RESULTS OR THE APS OVERALL.						
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR		AT LEAST 5		NTS LESS THA

CAPABILITY

0		RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	My supervisor provides time for me to attend learning programs	91	91%	+4	+11 🔂	+11 🖸	+80
THE FULL RESULTS	My supervisor shares links, readings and information	74 15 11	74 %	+7 🖸	+1	+4	+4
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	My supervisor provides me with opportunities to develop relevant capabilities for my career	65 23 12	65%	+1	-1	-1	-2
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY	My supervisor gives me the opportunity to apply what I learn in my day-to-day work	74 22	74%	+5 🔂	0	-2	-2
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED	l access learning and development solutions to meet my needs	74 19 7	74 %	-	0	+4	+1
	I have a clear understanding of my development needs	78 16	78 %	+6 🔂	0	+3	+2
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.	I spend time out of working hours building my capability	53 28 19	53 %	+6 🕢	0	-3	-4
COMPARISONS.	I seek out opportunities to apply what I learn in my day-to-day work	72 23	72 %	+2	-6 🕑	-8 🔮	-8 😍
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?							
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS	T LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THA	N	Pc	ositive Neutral M	Negative	
		OMPARATOR					



CAREER INTENTIONS



EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED THAT THEY WANTED TO LEAVE THEIR AGENCY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE OR WITHIN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS WERE ASKED WHY THEY WANTED TO DO SO. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONLY ONE OPTION FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIU SIZED AGENCIES
the last 12 months, have you applied for a job?						
Yes, outside the APS		14%	-5 🕑	+1	-1	0
Yes, in my agency		30%	+3	-8 🔮	-1	-3
Yes, in another APS agency		28%	+4	+10 🖸	+70	+60
No		47 %	-6 \mathbf	-1	-2	0
our agency?						
I want to leave my agency as soon as possible		5%	-1	-1	0	-1
I want to leave my agency as soon as possible I want to leave my agency within the next 12 months I want to leave my agency within the next 12 months		13%	-1 +2 +6 0	-1 +4 +8 0	0 +2 +8 0	+1
I want to leave my agency as soon as possible I want to leave my agency within the next 12 months			+2	+4	+2	+1
I want to leave my agency as soon as possible I want to leave my agency within the next 12 months I want to leave my agency within the next 12 months but feel it will be unlikely in the current environment I want to stay working for my agency for the next		13% 17%	+2 +6 0	+4 +8 0	+2 +8 O	+1 +9 0




CAREER INTENTIONS

0		RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Primary reasons behind desire to leave agency (3 highe	st responses):					
THE FULL RESULTS	There is a lack of future career opportunities in my agency		34 %	-	-	-	-
	Senior leadership is of a poor quality		14%	-	-	-	-
	I am intending to retire		13%	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE OPTIONS WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.							
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	DINTS GREATER		AT LEAST 5 F	PERCENTAGE POII	ITS LESS THAN

RISK MANAGEMENT


0			RESP	ONSE SCALE		% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE		supports employees to escal issues with managers	ate 63	29	8	63%	0	-7 🕑	-9 🛛	-10 🕑
THE FULL RESULTS		ement concerns are discusse honestly in my agency	ed 49	34	17	49 %	-9 \mathbf	-10 🕑	-14 🔮	-13 🔮
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF		provides me with opportunit and enhance my skills to < effectively	ies 51	38	10	51%	-	-1	-1	-2
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY	Appropriate agency	e risk taking is rewarded in m	^y 14	54	32	14%	-4	-11 🕑	-13 🛛	-13 🔮
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		gency demonstrate the of managing risk appropriat	ely 31	46	24	31%	-	-12 🕑	-17 🕑	-17 🕑
		s go wrong, my agency uses tunity to learn	this 30	43	27	30%	-	-17 🔮	-22 🔮	-21
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.										
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?										
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?										
		ST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS R THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 P COMPARATO	ERCENTAGE POINTS L R	ESS THAN		Po	sitive Neutral N	Vegative	



INNOVATION INDEX

0	$\hat{\Omega}$	YOUR INNOVATION INDEX 62%	RESPONSE SCALE		% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM SPECIALIST AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM MEDIUM SIZED AGENCIES
INNOVATION		SCORE				0	-3	-6 🔮	-5 😍
		I believe that one of my responsibilities is to continually look for new ways to improve the way we work	90	9	90%	+3	+4	0	+1
THE INNOVATION SCORE ASSESSES BOTH WHETHER EMPLOYEES FEEL WILLING AND ABLE TO BE INNOVATIVE, AND		My immediate supervisor encourages me to come up with new or better ways of doing things	73 2	21	73%	0	+1	-3	-1
WHETHER THEIR AGENCY HAS A CULTURE WHICH ENABLES THEM TO BE SO.		People are recognised for coming up with new and innovative ways of working	49 35	17	49 %	-1	-13 🕑	-17 🕑	-15 🕑
		My agency inspires me to come up with new or better ways of doing things	33 44	23	33%	-1	-16 🕑	-20 🔮	-18 🕑
IT IS IMPORTANT TO BALANCE HIGH LEVELS OF		My agency recognises and supports the notion that failure is a part of innovation	24 45 3	30	24%	-1	-14 🕑	-16 😍	-14 🕑
INNOVATION WITH EQUALLY STRONG LEVELS OF ENGAGEMENT. ORGANISATIONS THAT ENABLE AND ENCOURAGE INNOVATION AMONG EMPLOYEES WHO ARE NOT ENGAGED RISK A POTENTIAL MISALIGNMENT OF GOALS AND									
OBJECTIVES.	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS COMPARATOR	S THAN		Pc	sitive Neutral I	Negative	

PAGE 34.



TIME TO TAKE ACTION

CELEBRATE	Q INVESTIGATE FURTHER WITH OUR TEAMS	OPPORTUNITIES
What things do we do well?	Are there any other opportunities coming out of the results that we want to explore further?	Areas we need to focus on and turn into action plans:
THINK ABOUT HOW WE CAN BUILD ON OUR STRENGTHS AND LEARN FROM WHAT WE ARE GOOD AT.	HOW COULD WE INVESTIGATE? THROUGH LOOKING AT THE DATA IN MORE DETAIL OR THROUGH DISCUSSIONS WITH STAFF?	WHAT ARE THE KEY THINGS WE NEED TO IMPROVE TO MAKE WORKING HERE BETTER?

	PRIORITISE 3 AREAS FOR ACTION	TIMESCALES	OWNER	RESOURCES REQUIRED	TARGET / SUCCESS MEASURE
PAGE TO START YOUR LOCAL ACTION	1				
PLANS IDENTIFY AREAS TO	2				
CELEBRATE, OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND AREAS WHICH YOU NEED TO INVESTIGATE	3				
FURTHER. PRIORITISE 3 AREAS TO TAKE FORWARD					



GUIDE TO THIS REPORT

% POSITIVE

WHERE RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS POSITIVE PERCENTAGES (% POSITIVE), THESE ARE CALCULATED BY ADDING TOGETHER POSITIVE RESPONSES ("STRONGLY AGREE" + "AGREE") AND DIVIDING BY THE NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS WHO ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

ROUNDING

RESULTS ARE PRESENTED AS WHOLE NUMBERS FOR EASE OF READING, WITH ROUNDING PERFORMED AT THE LAST STAGE OF CALCULATION FOR MAXIMUM ACCURACY. VALUES FROM X.00 TO X.49 ARE ROUNDED DOWN AND VALUES FROM X.50 TO X.99 ARE ROUNDED UP. THEREFORE IN SOME INSTANCES, RESULTS MAY NOT TOTAL 100%.

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEITHER	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RESPONSES	151	166	176	96	24	613
PERCENTAGE	24.63%	27.08%	28.71%	15.66%	3.92%	100%
ROUNDED PERCENTAGE	25%	27%	29%	16%	4%	101%
NUMBER OF POSITIVE	151 + 166	= 317				
% POSITIVE	317 ÷ 613	5 = 52%				

ANONYMITY


IT IS ENGINE'S PRACTICE NOT TO DISPLAY THE RESULTS OF GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS TO THE EXTENT WHERE THE ANONYMITY OF INDIVIDUALS MAY BE COMPROMISED. RESULTS WILL NOT BE SHOWN WHERE THERE ARE LESS THAN 10 RESPONDENTS IN A GROUP.

COMPARISONS WITH RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS YEARS

THE METHOD OF ANALYSING AND REPORTING SPECIFIC RESULTS MAY BE PERIODICALLY REVIEWED AND REVISED. SUCH IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPLIED TO CURRENT DATA AND THAT OF PREVIOUS YEARS. FOR THIS REASON THE CURRENT REPORT IS ALWAYS THE MOST ACCURATE DATA SOURCE FOR APS EMPLOYEE CENSUS RESULTS, INCLUDING COMPARISONS WITH TIME SERIES DATA.







number of respondents who answered the question

=

% POSITIVE